



In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

In re Application of:

Gregorio O. Loveria III

Group/Art Unit: 2134

Ser. No.: 10/622,155

Examiner: Jung, David Y.

Filed: 15 July 2003

**For Application Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING
 A SECURE MULTIMEDIA PRESENTATION**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Reply to Office Action Under 37 CFR § 1.111

Sir:

Applicant hereby replies to the Non-Final Office Action issued 05 June 2007. A Certificate of Mailing, along with a Petition and Fees for an Extension of Two (2) Months time under 37 CFR § 1.27, 1.136(a) and 1.17(a)-1.17(a)(5), and under the previously filed oath of the Applicant affirming Small Entity Status, are submitted herewith.

REMARKS

The 05 June 2007 Official Action addressed claims 7-21. As set forth below, reconsideration of and allowance of claims 7-21 and all drawings is respectfully requested in the matter of the prosecution of US Patent Application No. 10/622,155.

Allowable Subject Matter

Within the 05 June 2007 Office Action, the Applicant is appreciative that the Examiner initially finds allowable subject matter in Claims 10, 15, and 20. Applicant also appreciates the Examiner's May 2007 phone call and verbal discussions with the Applicant whereby the Examiner initially would allow and grant a patent based upon the Examiner's consideration that Claims 10, 15 and 20 within Application No. 10/622,155 were, at that time, unique, allowable and patentable.

As per the May 2007 pre-Office Action phone discussion between the Applicant and Examiner, Applicant also extends additional appreciation to the Examiner for providing the Applicant with a written Office Action, Detailed Action and Office Action Summary, so as to afford the Applicant an understanding as to why, in the Examiner's consideration, other claims within Application No. 10/622,155 were at the time of the May 2007 phone discussion were non-patentable.

As the foregoing Claims 10, 15 and 20 are dependent claims, Applicant respectfully submits that the allowable matter within these dependent claims also supports that independent Claims 7, 12 & 17 have allowable subject matter. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that independent Claims 7, 12 & 17 be reconsidered and allowed for these reasons, and others as set forth below and throughout this document, in response to the Examiner's rejections of dependent Claims 10, 15 and 20 cited later in the Examiner's 05 June 2007 Office Action communication to Applicant.

Drawings

Under 37 CFR § 1.83(a) the Examiner rejects and does not allow the existing drawings because Examiner considers that the drawings fail to clearly show the features of the invention as described in Claims 10, 15 & 20. In the last sentence on Page 2 of the Detailed Action document, Examiner states that '*A correction and/or explanation of the drawings may assist in the prosecution of the case.*' (emphasis added.)

To assist the Examiner in advancing the prosecution of the case and application to final action, Applicant respectfully submits below a detailed explanation of the features of the unique invention which are set forth clearly and with particularity within the drawings, which were authored from the outset of the instant application's submission to the Office in July 2003 to be compliant with 37 CFR § 1.83(a), and specifically for the purposes of particularly detailing the features of the unique invention as set forth within dependent Claims 10, 15 & 20 and as are also set forth within the text of independent Claims 7, 12 & 17.

Within brackets (“[]”) and italicized below, Applicant cites and respectfully points the Examiner to the drawing details which clearly teach the methods of the invention with particularity within the claims cited using the phrase excerpted from the claims, and as quoted by the Examiner on Page 2 of the 05 June 2007 Official Action communication to Applicant:

“wherein displaying [Fig. 4, Item 110; Fig. 5, Items 132, 142 & 174; Fig. 6, Item 234; and Fig. 7, Items 50, 52 & 54] comprises sequentially extracting [Fig. 4, Items 98, 100 & 110; Fig. 5, Items 121, 122, 132, 138 & 142; Fig. 6, Items 201 & 226] from the encrypted multimedia presentation data file [Fig. 2] decrypted data portions and or decrypted component data files [Fig. 3; Fig. 4, Items 100 & 110; Fig. 5, Items 121, 122, 132 & 138; Fig. 6, Items 201, 214, & 226] associated with concurrently displayed and decrypted components; [Fig. 7, Items 50, 52 & 54] and the method further comprising extracting [Fig. 4, Items 98, 100 & 110; Fig. 5, Items 121, 122, 132, 138 & 142; Fig. 6, Items 201 & 226] from the encrypted multimedia presentation data file [Fig. 2] portions of decrypted audio data or a decrypted audio file or files [Fig. 2 'Encrypted Audio Data'; Fig. 3, 'Decrypted Encoded Audio Data', 'Audio Decoder' & 'Digitized Audio Signal'; Figure 4, Item 100; Figure 5, Items 121, 138 & 142] synchronized [Fig. 2, 'Encrypted Synchronization Information'; Fig. 4, Item 116; Fig. 5, Items 126, 128, 130, 132, 134, Fig. 6, Items 210, 212, 222 & 230] with the decrypted [Fig. 3, 'Decrypted Compressed Video Data', 'Decrypted Encoded Audio Data', 'Decrypted Compressed Raster & Vector Image Data' & Decrypted Text Data'; Fig. 4, Items 100 & 110; Fig. 5, Items 121, 122, 132 & 138] displayed components” [Fig. 4, Item 110; Fig. 5, Items 132, 142 & 174; Fig. 6, Item 234; Fig. 7, Items 50, 52 & 54.]

In light of the above detailed explanation, as requested by the Examiner to “assist the prosecution of this case”, Applicant respectfully submits that the existing drawings clearly detail and teach the features, methods and art of the invention with particularity as set forth within the immediate patent application's dependent Claims 10, 15 & 20, as well as within independent Claims 7, 12 & 17, as instructed and called for under 37 CFR § 1.83(a). Applicant therefore respectfully requests that all the existing drawings and Claims 7, 10, 12, 17 & 20 be reconsidered for allowance as submitted with the instant application without modification or amendment because of the drawings' compliance with the rules of 37 CFR § 1.83, as the drawings teach and show the features with detailed particularity set forth in Claims 7, 10, 12, 17 & 20.